

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/854,123	TAKEUCHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert C. Scheibel	2666	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Robert C. Scheibel.

(3) _____.

 (2) Seth Weinfeld (Reg. No. 50,929).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 March 2006
Time: 3:00 PM EST
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

8 and 15


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 3-20-06
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner explained how the claim language for claims 8 and 15 submitted in the amendment of 2/27/2005 could be interpreted to still read on the art of record. Examiner and Applicant discussed how these claims could be reworded to be more in line with the subject matter of claim 1. The attached Examiner's Amendment provides the claim language agreed upon by Examiner and Applicant. Applicant provided authorization for these changes in a subsequent interview on 3/20/2006 at 11:30 AM EST..